

East West Rail – Bedford to Cambridge and Western improvements – TR040012

East West Railway Company Limited

Section 51 Advice Log

Version: 12 November 2025

There is a statutory duty under [section 51 \(s51\) of the Planning Act 2008](#) for the Planning Inspectorate to record the advice that it gives in relation to an application or potential application, and to make this publicly available.

This document comprises a record of the advice that has been provided by the Inspectorate to the applicant (East West Railway Company Limited) and their consultants during the pre-application stage. It will be updated by the Inspectorate after every interaction with the applicant during which s51 has been provided. The applicant will always be given the opportunity to comment on the Inspectorate's draft record of advice before it is published.

The applicant will use this Advice Log as the basis for demonstrating regard to section 51 advice within the application.

s51 Advice Log - Index	
Date of meeting	Meeting overview
6 November 2024	Project Update Development Consent Order Submission Non-Statutory Consultation Environmental Scoping Report New PINS pre-application process
5 February 2025 (email)	Programme Document Feedback
7 March 2025	Examination Documents Scoping Opinion Programme Document Project update meetings Environment Agency update
18 June 2025	Universal UK project Construction Disruption Crown Estate Land Consents and Licenses Main Issues Tracker Engagement with Local Authority's Service Tier Programme Document Project Update Meetings
29 September 2025	Section 35 Direction Environmental surveys Impact on utilities Planning and Infrastructure Reform Bill Ministry of Housing, Communities, and Local Government consultation response Future project update meetings

East West Rail – Bedford to Cambridge and Western Improvements - s51 Advice Library

Topic	Meeting date: 6 November 2024
Development Consent Order (DCO) submission	The Inspectorate advised the Applicant to consider the most appropriate date for DCO submission to avoid periods of the year when the 28 day acceptance period is affected by bank holidays (such as over the Christmas period) as this can cause difficulties in obtaining input from local authorities.
Non-Statutory Consultation	The Inspectorate advised that it would be beneficial for the Applicant to provide non-technical summaries of reports to ensure that these are accessible to the general public.
Environmental Scoping Report	The Inspectorate normally advise Applicants to seek their Scoping Opinion via the Planning Inspectorate separately to any statutory or non-statutory consultations to avoid responses from statutory bodies and other stakeholders being sent to the Inspectorate and not the Applicant about consultation rather than scoping or vice versa.
Environmental Scoping Report	The Inspectorate explained to the Applicant the difficulties which could be caused by submitting the Scoping Opinion request over the Christmas period. The availability of local authority and statutory body staff during these periods can affect the ability of these organisations to be able to respond or to provide detailed responses to the Inspectorate within 28 days.
Programme Document	The Inspectorate noted that it is now usual practice to receive the Programme Document ahead of the project update meeting so that feedback can be provided on this further to the guidance - Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: 2024 Pre-application Prospectus - GOV.UK The Inspectorate advised that the Programme Document will need to be provided to them as soon as possible as it is a key component of the pre-application process. Once received the Inspectorate will provide feedback. The Applicant will then be required to publish the document on its website.
Pre-Application fees	The Inspectorate explained that it will not provide a breakdown of hours spent on the project and that the pre-application fee is a fixed fee per year of service and will be invoiced twice per year in October and April. The standard tier of service allows for up to six meetings per year of service.
Draft Documents review	The Inspectorate explained that it is important for the Applicant to provide well developed documents when requesting a draft document review to enable the Inspectorate to provide detailed feedback to the Applicant.

New Advice Page	The Inspectorate made the Applicant aware of its new advice page on Good Design and further advice on linear projects will be available in due course - Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Good Design - GOV.UK
Topic	Email date: 5 February 2025
Programme Document Feedback	The applicant supplied the Inspectorate with its initial Programme Document in line with the Expression of Interest process, after the publication of the 2024 Pre-application Prospectus. Having reviewed the document, the Inspectorate notes that it follows the expected content structure as set out in the government's pre-application guidance at paragraph 10. However, in updating its Programme Document, the applicant should: • include whether the local authorities, statutory consultees and others are content with the proposed programme • indicate how they will seek input from the Local Authorities on the Adequacy of Consultation Milestone statement. • include clear dates or defined timescales (month rather than quarters) in its programme timetable for the Adequacy of Consultation Milestone (expected to be scheduled three months before the submission of the application), consultation period with local authorities on the draft Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC), publishing the SoCC, any expected project update meetings with the Planning Inspectorate, and any timescales for obtaining other consents or permissions (if required). It would also be helpful if the Programme Document explained how the design approach will be managed and communicated during pre-application as well as any relevant information about the development of the draft Development Consent Order (DCO) and Explanatory Memorandum. The applicant will need to publish its updated Programme Document on its website as soon as practicable.
Topic	Meeting date: 7 March 2025
Examination documents	The Inspectorate advised the applicant to ensure documents submitted include version numbers to assist in the examination.
Scoping Opinion	It was noted that due to an internal error, Natural England (NE) did not respond to the scoping opinion. NE stated it will be continuing communication direct with the applicant.

	The Inspectorate advised that although NE did not respond, it is important for the applicant to engage with them as a statutory consultee to attempt to identify and resolve issues prior to examination.
Programme Document	The Inspectorate explained the importance of providing it with an updated programme document. It further noted that the document helps to outline when meetings are required and draft documents are to be reviewed etc. This is for the applicant to take the lead on when they meetings will be best suited to take place.
Project update meetings	The Inspectorate noted that project update meetings should cover non-statutory consultation feedback and how the applicant is engaging with the Local Authorities together with Statutory Parties communication. The Inspectorate also explained that the applicant can communicate any highlights and updates on the project via email/telephone to the case manager at anytime and the project update meetings are here so it and the applicant can come together for a discussion and for the applicant to seek any advice required.
Environment Agency update	The Inspectorate noted that the Environment Agency has published flood and coastal erosion risk data in 2025 following the release of its "National assessment of flood and coastal erosion risk in England 2024". Further updates are also expected to follow. The applicant should ensure that assessments take account of updated data sets as these become available through Defra's Data Services Platform.
Topic	Meeting date: 18 June 2025
Universal UK Project	The Inspectorate noted that the potential impact of the Universal UK project should be continually assessed.
Construction Disruption	The Inspectorate noted that Examining Authorities are frequently challenging time periods for construction work. The applicant should demonstrate its consideration for alternative time periods and justify its proposals. The Inspectorate also emphasised the importance of respite periods for local communities and interested parties.
Crown Estate Land	The Inspectorate explained the importance of reaching agreements with the Crown Estate during the pre-application stage to prevent future delays to the project.
Consents and Licenses	The Inspectorate advised the applicant to create a tailored list of consents and licenses in preparation for the examination period.
Main Issues Tracker	The Inspectorate highlighted the importance of having a key on the applicant's issues tracker that explains the RAG rating system.
Engagement with Local Authority's	The Inspectorate does not have specific advice on applicants' approach to engaging with local authorities and cannot advise local authorities how to engage with projects.

	The applicant should continue to engage and hold consultations with local authorities which it deems necessary. The Inspectorate would like to remain updated on this matter.
Service Tier	The Inspectorate explained that the supplementary pre-application components are only required for applicants subscribed to the enhanced service tier. The applicant can use these tools to aid the examination process, but this is optional.
Programme Document	The Inspectorate advised that the Programme Document should only be updated if changes have been made to the project. It also suggested it should contain links to the project website and consultation webpages to aid readers to locate relevant materials. The Inspectorate explained that the applicant was required to publish the Programme Document of the project website.
Project Update Meetings	The Inspectorate advised that slide packs and the applicant's questions should be received at least a week before project update meetings. The Inspectorate explained that the applicant can communicate with the case manager via email/ telephone at any time.
Topic	Meeting date: 29 September 2025
Section 35 direction	The Inspectorate noted that the applicant had received its Section 35 direction in 2019 and queried whether the proposed development still aligned with it. The Inspectorate advised the applicant to engage with the Secretary of State for the Department for Transport on the matter.
Environmental surveys	The applicant explained that it had obtained access to approximately 50% of the land required for survey work, citing issues with landowner permissions. The Inspectorate queried how the applicant intended to assess worst-case scenarios, given the limited amount of survey work completed to date. The Inspectorate advised that the applicant should endeavour to complete as much survey work as possible to ensure the Environmental Statement is robust.
Impact on utilities	The applicant conveyed that the project's impact on existing utilities could result in multiple Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) being included in its Development Consent Order (DCO). The Inspectorate advised the applicant to consider how this could impact the current scheme.
Planning and Infrastructure Reform Bill	The applicant explained that it is considering its approach to engagement and consultation in relation to the project going forwards, on the assumption that the Planning and

	Infrastructure Bill will become law. The applicant noted it is considering producing an informal Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) that incorporates best practice and feedback from local authorities. The Inspectorate noted that the full implications of the Bill will remain unclear until its formal introduction. It advised that certain procedural requirements may still apply depending on when the project was first presented to the Inspectorate, referencing previous implementation of the pre-application service tier in October 2024, which prescribed when applicants were expected to pay the fee dependent on when their scoping submission was made. Consequently, the Inspectorate emphasised that the applicant may still be required to produce a formal SoCC and undertake a traditional statutory consultation.
Ministry of Housing, Communities, and Local Government (MHCLG) consultation response	The applicant advised the Inspectorate that it was preparing a response to the MHCLG consultation on streamlining infrastructure planning. The Inspectorate enquired if the applicant would be willing to share its response to the consultation and advised the applicant, should they wish to submit this, to do so at least two weeks prior to any scheduled project update meeting to allow sufficient time for internal review and to ensure it could provide comments during discussions.
Future project update meetings	The Inspectorate requested that the applicant provide additional background information on the proposed EWR DCO project at the next project update meeting due to changes in resourcing. The Inspectorate also asked for an update on the progress of the applicant's environmental survey work to ensure it remains informed of key developments.
Topic	Email (Advice): 23 October 2025
Pre-application prospectus	The Inspectorate has advised that, following a 6-month review of our services, our Pre-Application Prospectus has been updated: 2024 Pre-application Prospectus. The update log at the bottom of the page summarises the changes and clarifications that have been applied. Applicants with a live project at the pre-application stage of the process, please familiarise yourself with the update and consider how it might affect your pre-application programme and interaction with our services. Please note in particular: • The establishment of land and rights negotiations tracking as a primary service feature – this means it is now expected for all applicants to develop and share a land and rights negotiations

	tracker in 1 of 2 available templates, irrespective of the service tier they have subscribed to • Clarified expectations of the applicants when preparing to interact with the Inspectorate at meetings – including clarified rights for the Inspectorate to delay or refuse service where pre-meeting expectations are not upheld e.g. an update programme document or issues tracker is not provided, on time, to inform meeting agenda.
--	---